UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
	V. Marcus Chavard Ewing Defendant	Case No. 1:13 CR 220			
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, lefendant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require			
	Part I – Findings o	f Fact			
(1)	 The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of a federal offense a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had existed – that is 				
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4) which the prison term is 10 years or more.	, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for			
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.				
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten year	s or more is prescribed in:			
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.				
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:				
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or destru a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	ctive device or any other dangerous weapon			
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the corr local offense.	defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state			
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date offense described in finding (1).	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the			
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that person or the community. I further find that defendant has not				
	Alternative Finding				
√ (1)					
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is	s prescribed in:			
	✓ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	*			
√ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established be will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the sa				
(1)	Alternative Finding There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	gs (B)			
√ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safe	fety of another person or the community.			
、 /	Part II – Statement of the Reas				
evidence	find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention a preponderance of the evidence that:				
	ant and counsel waived a detention hearing on the record. Dete ption and defendant's serious, recent felony record.	ention is ordered on the basis of the unrebutted			

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	November 6, 2013	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Joseph G. Scoville
_		Name and Title:	Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge